

## Gadolinium and Dysprosium Intermetallic Phases. II. Laves Phases and Other Structure Types

BY N. C. BAENZIGER AND J. L. MORIARTY, JR.

*Department of Chemistry, University of Iowa, Iowa City, Iowa, U.S.A.*

(Received 17 June 1960 and in revised form 21 November 1960)

Unit-cell dimensions and interatomic distances are given for the following phases: MgCu<sub>2</sub> type: GdX<sub>2</sub> and DyX<sub>2</sub> where X = Pt, Mn, Fe, Co, Ni and Al; CsCl type: GdX and DyX where X = Ag, Cu, In, Tl and Al; AuCu<sub>3</sub> type: GdIn<sub>3</sub>, DyIn<sub>3</sub>, DyTl<sub>3</sub>, DyPt<sub>3</sub>; MoSi<sub>2</sub> type: GdAg<sub>2</sub>, DyAg<sub>2</sub>, GdAu<sub>2</sub>, DyAu<sub>2</sub>; CuTi<sub>3</sub> type: Dy<sub>3</sub>In; CaZn<sub>5</sub> type: GdNi<sub>5</sub>, DyNi<sub>5</sub>, GdCo<sub>5</sub>, DyCo<sub>5</sub>; AlB<sub>2</sub> type: GdGa<sub>2</sub>, DyGa<sub>2</sub>; SnNi<sub>3</sub> type: GdAl<sub>3</sub>.

### Introduction

The rare-earth metals provide an opportunity to test the effect of atomic size in the structures of intermetallic phases. Reasonable quantities of gadolinium and dysprosium metals are now available, so that a fairly extensive investigation of their alloying behavior is possible. As a result the binary alloy systems of gadolinium and dysprosium with twenty-one metallic elements have been studied. For purposes of reporting, the elements have been divided into four groups: group A: Ti, V, Cr, Zr, Nb, Mo, Ta, W; group B: Mn, Fe, Co, Ni; group C: Cu, Ag, Au, Pt; group D: Al, Ga, In, Tl, Ge. The readily identified intermetallic phases are reported in this paper. Additional phases have been found, but their structures are yet to be confirmed.

### Experimental methods

Alloys were first prepared from pure metals (analyses, Table 1) with stoichiometries corresponding to alloys previously reported in the analogous systems with La, Ce, Pr, or Nd. In addition, alloys were prepared with stoichiometries intermediate between these single phase regions and any other single phase region subsequently recognized.

Table 1. *Alloy materials*

Metal	%Metal	Metal	%Metal
Gadolinium	R107 99.8+	Cobalt	99.4
Gadolinium	**Subl. 98.2	Nickel	99.5
Gadolinium	*R160 99.14	Copper	99.9+
Dysprosium	R159 99.9+	Silver	99.9+
Titanium	99.5	Gold	99.99
Chromium	99.95	Germanium	99.9999999
Zirconium	99.0+	Platinum	98.5 to 99.0
Niobium	99.4	Gallium	99.99
Molybdenum	99.966	Aluminum	99.9+
Tantalum	99.8	Indium	99.0+
Tungsten	99.9	Thallium	99.95
Manganese	99.9+	Iron	99.8
Vanadium	99.5+		

The alloy specimens, 10 to 15 g. in size, were melted under half an atmosphere of argon in a small electric

arc furnace consisting of a removable water-cooled hearth and tungsten electrode with power supplied by a 400 amp. d.c. welder. Each specimen was remelted repeatedly to improve homogeneity. If the final weight differed significantly from the initial weight of the alloying metals, a chemical analysis was performed

Table 2. MgCu<sub>2</sub> structure type<sup>(1)</sup>

Interatomic distances: Gd has 12M at (D), 4Gd at (E)  
M has 6M at (F), 6Gd at (D)

	a (Å)	σ(a) (Å)	Vol. (Å <sup>3</sup> )	D (Å)	E (Å)	F (Å)
GdPt <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>2</sup> )	7.6349	0.0008	445.05	3.165	3.306	2.699
( <sup>3</sup> )	7.637	0.001				
( <sup>3</sup> )	7.577	—				
GdMn <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> )	7.732	0.001	462.2	3.205	3.348	2.733
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.751	—				
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.724	0.005				
GdFe <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>4</sup> )	7.389	0.003	403.4	3.063	3.200	2.612
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.445	—				
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.39	0.01				
GdCo <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.258	0.003	382.3	3.009	3.143	2.566
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.255	0.005				
GdNi <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.2056	0.0009	374.12	2.987	3.120	2.547
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.202	0.005				
GdAl <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.9020	0.0005	493.41	3.276	3.422	2.793
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.900	0.005				
DyPt <sub>2</sub>	7.5966	0.0007	438.39	3.149	3.289	2.685
DyMn <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.5731	0.0008	434.33	3.139	3.279	2.677
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.564	0.005				
DyFe <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.321	0.001	392.4	3.035	3.170	2.588
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.325	0.01				
DyCo <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.190	0.004	371.7	2.980	3.113	2.542
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.187	0.005				
DyNi <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.142	0.004	364.3	2.961	3.093	2.525
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.155	0.005				
DyAl <sub>2</sub> ( <sup>5</sup> )	7.835	0.001	481.0	3.248	3.393	2.770
( <sup>5</sup> )	7.840	0.005				

<sup>(1)</sup> *Strukturbericht*, 1, 490 (1931).

<sup>(2)</sup> Compton & Matthias (1959).

<sup>(3)</sup> Dwight (1961).

<sup>(4)</sup> Endter & Klemm (1944).

<sup>(5)</sup> Wernick & Geller (1960).

using either standard wet chemical procedures or flame spectrophotometry.

X-ray diffraction powder photographs were made of the alloy specimens in either the 'as-melted' or annealed condition. Filings were annealed in vycor capillaries 'gettered' with zirconium wire. The X-ray photographs were made with a 57.3 mm. radius Debye-Scherrer camera with Fe  $K\alpha$  radiation. Metallographic examination was carried out on the macro specimens to determine the homogeneity and to identify the phases present. Microhardness measurements (Eberbach) were also used to help identify the phases present in the microstructures.

Table 3. CsCl structure type<sup>(1)</sup>

Interatomic distances: Gd has 8M at (D), 6Gd at (a) M has 8Gd at (D), 6M at (a)				
	<i>a</i> (Å)	$\sigma(a)$ (Å)	Vol. (Å <sup>3</sup> )	<i>D</i> (Å)
GdAg	3.6476	0.0008	48.53	3.157
GdCu	3.503	0.001	43.0	3.034
GdIn	3.830	0.001	56.18	3.317
GdTl	3.7797	0.0009	54.00	3.274
GdAl <sup>(2)</sup>	3.7208	0.0002	51.51	3.222
DyAg	3.608	0.001	46.97	3.125
DyCu	3.461	0.001	41.46	2.997
DyIn	3.7866	0.0009	54.29	3.281
DyTl	3.743	0.002	52.44	3.242
DyAl <sup>(2)</sup>	3.6826	0.0004	49.94	3.190

<sup>(1)</sup> *Strukturbericht*, 1 74 (1931).

<sup>(2)</sup> Not the major constituent of powder photograph and not all other lines of the pattern identified.

Table 4. AuCu<sub>3</sub> structure type<sup>(1)</sup>, <sup>(2)</sup>

Interatomic distances: Each atom is surrounded by 12 others at (D). The number and type of neighbors depends on the degree of ordering

	<i>a</i> (Å)	$\sigma(a)$ (Å)	Vol. (Å <sup>3</sup> )	<i>D</i> (Å)
GdIn <sub>3</sub>	4.6103	0.0002	97.99	3.260
GdTl <sub>3</sub>	4.696	0.001	103.6	3.321
DyIn <sub>3</sub>	4.5762	0.0009	95.83	3.236
DyTl <sub>3</sub>	4.6720	0.0009	101.98	3.304
DyPt <sub>3</sub>	4.072	0.001	67.52	2.879

<sup>(1)</sup> *Strukturbericht*, 1, 486 (1931).

<sup>(2)</sup> Due to the relative values of the scattering factors, the existence of ordering could not be detected.

Table 5. CaZn<sub>5</sub> structure type (hexagonal)<sup>(1)</sup>

Interatomic distances: Gd has 6M <sub>I</sub> at (D), 12M <sub>I</sub> at (E) M <sub>I</sub> has 3Gd at (D), 6M <sub>II</sub> at (F) M <sub>II</sub> has 4Gd at (E), 4M <sub>I</sub> at (F), 4M <sub>II</sub> at (G)									
	<i>a</i> (Å)	$\sigma(a)$ (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	$\sigma(c)$ (Å)	Vol. (Å <sup>3</sup> )	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>E</i> (Å)	<i>F</i> (Å)	<i>G</i> (Å)
GdNi <sub>5</sub>	4.9129	0.0008	3.9640	0.0005	82.86	2.836	3.156	2.437	2.456
<sup>(2)</sup>	4.899	0.005	3.973	0.005					
<sup>(2)</sup>	4.910	—	3.988	—					
DyNi <sub>5</sub>	4.8756	0.0002	3.9673	0.0001	81.67	2.815	3.143	2.432	2.438
<sup>(2)</sup>	4.869	0.005	3.969	0.005					
GdCo <sub>5</sub>	4.978	0.005	3.973	0.002	85.26	2.874	3.185	2.452	2.489
<sup>(2)</sup>	4.974	0.005	3.973	0.005					
<sup>(4)</sup>	4.984	—	3.967	—					
DyCo <sub>5</sub>	4.933	0.002	3.989	0.001	84.07	2.848	3.172	2.451	2.466
<sup>(2)</sup>	4.926	0.005	3.988	0.005					

<sup>(1)</sup> *Structure Reports*, 11, 59.

<sup>(2)</sup> Wernick & Geller (1959).

<sup>(3)</sup> Endter & Klemm (1944).

<sup>(4)</sup> Dwight (1961).

The diffraction patterns of the alloys of Gd and Dy with the metals of group A showed lines only of the metals and none corresponding to intermediate phases. The back-reflection regions of these X-ray diagrams were compared visually with similar regions of diagrams of the pure metals prepared with the same camera. Significant changes in the line positions occurred only for Gd in the Gd-Zr system and for Dy in the Dy-Zr system. The lines due to the rare-earth metal shifted in a direction indicating a smaller cell dimensions. Since the size of the Zr atom is smaller than that of the rare-earth metals, it appears that solubility is very small in the rare-earth metals except for Zr, and that the rare-earth metals do not dissolve appreciably in the group A metals. However, since extended annealing treatments and precision cell dimension determinations have not yet been performed, definite solubility limits cannot be given.

Table 6. AlB<sub>2</sub> structure type (hexagonal)<sup>(1)</sup>

Interatomic distances: Gd has 12Ga at (D) Ga has 3Ga at (E), 6Gd at (D)							
	<i>a</i> (Å)	$\sigma(a)$ (Å)	<i>c</i> (Å)	$\sigma(c)$ (Å)	Vol. (Å <sup>3</sup> )	<i>D</i> (Å)	<i>E</i> (Å)
GdGa <sub>2</sub>	4.221	0.001	4.141	0.002	63.89	3.197	2.437
DyGa <sub>2</sub>	4.2011	0.0003	4.0655	0.0005	62.14	3.165	2.426

<sup>(1)</sup> *Strukturbericht*, 3, 28 (1937).

Table 7. SnNi<sub>3</sub> structure type (hexagonal)<sup>(1)</sup>

Interatomic distances: Gd has 6Al at 3.154, 6Al at 2.929 Al has 2Ge at 3.154, 2Gd at 2.929 4Al at 3.154, 4Al at 2.929						
GdAl <sub>3</sub> <i>a</i> = 6.308 ± 0.003 Å, <i>c</i> = 4.589 ± 0.009 Å, Vol. = 158.1 Å <sup>3</sup>						

<sup>(1)</sup> *Strukturbericht*, 5, 7 (1940).

The unit-cell dimensions of the intermetallic phases found in groups B, C and D are presented in Tables 2 through 9. The unit cell dimensions were calculated from back-reflection data by a least-squares fitting of  $\sin^2 \theta_o = \sin^2 \theta_c + K \varphi \sin \varphi$ , where  $\varphi$  is  $= \pi/2 - \theta$ , and *K* is a constant. For each structure type, intensity calculations were made for a representative compound

Table 8. MoSi<sub>2</sub> structure type (tetragonal)<sup>(1)</sup>

	$a$	$\sigma(a)$	$c$	$\sigma(c)$	Vol.	$D$	$E$
	(Å)	(Å)	(Å)	(Å)	(Å <sup>3</sup> )	(Å)	(Å)
GdAg <sub>2</sub>	3.728	0.001	9.296	0.006	129.2	3.099	3.057
DyAg <sub>2</sub>	3.6957	0.0002	9.213	0.001	125.8	3.071	3.031
GdAu <sub>2</sub>	3.7320	0.0006	9.014	0.003	125.5	3.004	3.036
DyAu <sub>2</sub>	3.6940	0.0006	8.956	0.003	122.2	2.985	3.009

<sup>(1)</sup> *Strukturbericht*, **1**, 741 (1931).

Table 9. CuTi<sub>3</sub> structure type (tetragonal)<sup>(1), (2)</sup>

Dy<sub>3</sub>In  $a = 4.602 \pm 0.004$  Å,  $c = 4.945 \pm 0.004$  Å, Vol. = 104.7 Å<sup>3</sup>

<sup>(1)</sup> Pearson, (1958), p. 618.

<sup>(2)</sup> Due to the relative values of the scattering factors, the existence of ordering could not be detected.

in order to verify the choice of the structure. Powder diagrams of alloys intermediate to the single phase regions gave evidence that if any homogeneity ranges exist, they must be quite narrow.

The authors are indebted to Dr J. R. Doyle for preparing the computer programs for the LGP-30 for the evaluation of the cell dimensions. The rare-earth metals were furnished through the courtesy of the Lunex Company, Pleasant Valley, Iowa; American Metal Climax, Inc. donated the germanium, and the Climax Molybdenum Company furnished the molybdenum. The research was supported by a National Science Foundation Grant G6225. The computations were made possible by another NSF Grant, G6085.

## References

- COMPTON, V. B. & MATTHIAS, B. T. (1959). *Acta Cryst.* **12**, 651.  
 DWIGHT, A. E. (1961). *Trans. Amer. Soc. Met.* **53**, 477.  
 ENDER, F. & KLEMM, W. (1944). *Z. anorg. Chem.* **252**, 377.  
 PEARSON, W. B. (1958). *Handbook of Lattice Spacings and Structures of Metals*. London: Pergamon Press.  
 WERNICK, J. H. & GELLER, S. (1959). *Acta Cryst.* **12**, 662.  
 WERNICK, J. H. & GELLER, S. (1960). *Trans. Amer. Inst. Min. Met. Engrs.* **218**, 866.

*Acta Cryst.* (1961). **14**, 950

## The Hydrogen Positions in Portlandite, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, as Indicated by the Electron Distribution

By HOWARD E. PETCH

*Crystallographic Laboratory, Cavendish Laboratory, Cambridge, England,  
 and Department of Metallurgy and Metallurgical Engineering, McMaster University, Hamilton, Ontario, Canada*

(Received 28 September 1960)

X-ray diffraction and Fourier synthesis techniques have been used to determine the electron distribution in a crystal of portlandite with sufficient accuracy to establish the hydrogen positions. The atoms have been found to occupy the following positions:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ca} & (0, 0, 0) \\ \text{O} & \pm \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, u\right) \\ \text{H} & \pm \left(\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, v\right) \end{aligned}$$

with  $u = 0.2330 \pm 0.0004$  and  $v = 0.395 \pm 0.008$ . Independent anisotropic temperature factors were introduced for the calcium and oxygen ions. Also, in the final stages of refinement, systematic differences between the observed and calculated structure factors became apparent and their causes were traced to the Hartree scattering curves for Ca<sup>++</sup> and O<sup>--</sup> which were first used in the determination of the calculated structure factors. Semi-empirical scattering curves were derived and their use leads to an excellent agreement between the observed and calculated structure factors. After this work was completed new theoretical values for the scattering factors Ca<sup>++</sup> and O<sup>-</sup> became available and these have been compared with the derived semi-empirical values.

### Introduction

Portlandite, Ca(OH)<sub>2</sub>, and brucite, Mg(OH)<sub>2</sub>, are isomorphous and their structures have been known for many years. They possess a simple layer structure in which each cation is at the centre of an octahedron which is defined by hydroxyl ions, one at each corner.

These octahedra may be thought of as joined together in sheets perpendicular to the three-fold axis of the trigonal crystal by having hydroxyl ions in common. The sheets are stacked vertically one above the other. The brucite structure was determined by Aminoff (1919). Megaw (1933) obtained accurate values for the